A Study of Vertical-to-Horizontal Ratio of Earthquake Components in the Gulf Coast Region

by Alireza Haji-Soltani, Shahram Pezeshk, Mojtaba Malekmohammadi, and Arash Zandieh

Abstract A new ground-motion prediction model is developed for the response spectral ratio of vertical-to-horizontal (V/H) components of earthquakes for the Gulf Coast region. The proposed V/H response spectral ratio model has the advantage of considering the earthquake magnitude, source-to-site distance, and the shear-wave velocity of soil deposits in the upper 30 m of the site ($V_{S30}$) for the peak ground acceleration, and a wide range of spectral periods (0.01–10.0 s). The model is based on a comprehensive set of regression analyses of the newly compiled Next Generation Attenuation-East database of available central and eastern North America recordings with the moment magnitudes $M \geq 3.4$ and the rupture distances $R_{Rup} < 1000$ km. The 50th percentile (or median) pseudospectral acceleration values computed from the orthogonal horizontal components of ground motions rotated through all possible nonredundant rotation angles, known as the RotD50 (Boore, 2010), is used along with the vertical component to perform regression using a nonlinear mixed-effects regression algorithm. The predicted V/H ratios from the proposed model are compared with the recently published V/H spectral ratio models for different regions. The derived V/H ratios can be used to develop the vertical response spectra for the sites located within the Gulf Coast region, which include the Mississippi embayment.

Introduction

Seismic design of structures is fundamentally governed by the horizontal component of ground motion, and the effects of the vertical component of earthquakes have long been neglected in structural design. However, destructive earthquakes such as the Northridge, California (1994), Kobe, Japan (1995), and Chi-Chi, Taiwan (1999) have provided an emerging body of evidence that the vertical component of earthquakes is more important than previously thought. These new levels of information reveal the destructive potential of the vertical component of earthquakes and suggest that the effects of both vertical and horizontal components of ground motions should be considered in the seismic design of structures. The effects of the vertical component of earthquakes on structural systems have been addressed in different studies (Saadeghvaziri and Foutch, 1991; Papazoglou and Elnashai, 1996; Yu et al., 1997; Bozorgnia and Campbell, 2004a; Kunnath et al., 2008).

There are basically two main approaches in developing a vertical design response spectrum for a study site. The first is to perform a probabilistic seismic-hazard analysis (PSHA) using vertical ground-motion prediction equations (GMPEs) to estimate the vertical ground-motion hazard. For instance, western United States (WUS) vertical GMPEs are developed using the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center–Next Generation Attenuation (PEER NGA)-West2 ground-motion database for the vertical component of peak ground acceleration (PGA), peak ground velocity (PGV), and pseudospectral acceleration (PSA) values by Chiu and Youngs (2013) in PEER (2013), Bozorgnia and Campbell (2016a), Stewart et al. (2016), and Gülerce et al. (2017). However, there are some drawbacks to applying this approach in other regions. First, there is lack of region-specific vertical GMPEs in areas such as central and eastern North America (CENA) due to data limitations. Second, there is a possible mismatch between the vertical and the horizontal controlling earthquakes resulting from the deaggregation of hazard in terms of vertical and horizontal response spectral ordinates.

The second approach is to use the vertical-to-horizontal (V/H) spectral ratio of the ground motion to adjust an available horizontal design spectrum to a vertical spectrum. In this case, PSHA is performed using the horizontal GMPEs, and the resulting horizontal design spectrum will be scaled to the vertical orientation. This would solve the aforementioned problem associated with the first approach by preserving the same earthquake scenario resulting from the deaggregation of hazard in terms of vertical and horizontal response spectral ordinates.
spectral ratio model is estimated as the ratio of the vertical GMPEs and the horizontal one for a given scenario (Ambra-seys and Douglas, 2003; Bozorgnia and Campbell, 2004b, 2016b; Sedaghati and Pezeshk, 2017). The second method is based on the regression analyses on the V/H spectral ratios obtained from empirical data (Bommer et al., 2011; Gülerce and Abrahamson, 2011; Akkar et al., 2014).

A list of recent studies on the relationship between the vertical and horizontal components of earthquake ground motion is provided in Bozorgnia and Campbell (2016b). For example, Siddiqqi and Atkinson (2002) used the ratio of the horizontal-to-vertical (H/V) components of ground motion to determine the frequency-dependent amplification inherent in hard-rock sites across Canada. They used a Fourier spectra database compiled from 424 earthquakes of magnitude greater than or equal to 2, recorded on 32 three-component stations of the Canadian National Seismograph Network, all sited on rock. Their results showed that the H/V ratio for rock sites is poorly frequency dependent and does not vary considerably across Canada. The H/V ratios were increasing from a factor near unity at 0.5 Hz to a maximum in the range from 1.2 to 1.6 at 10 Hz.

Zandieh and Pezeshk (2011) studied the Fourier domain H/V spectral ratios in the New Madrid Seismic Zone to capture the amplification effect of local soil sediments on earthquake ground motion. They used 500 broadband seismograms from 63 events of moment magnitude $M \geq 5.2$, recorded on broadband stations in the Mississippi embayment. They compared the H/V spectral ratios with the theoretical quarter-wavelength approximation and showed that the H/V spectral ratios could be a first estimate of the site amplifications.

Seismic codes suggested a variety of V/H models to obtain the vertical design spectrum. Regulatory Guide 1.60 (1973) is among the first seismic codes that considered obtaining the vertical design spectrum from the horizontal spectrum using the V/H spectral ratio model. McGuire et al. (2001) studied the V/H spectral ratios for rock site conditions in WUS and central and eastern United States (CEUS) to update Regulatory Guide 1.60 values for the V/H spectral ratios. Their V/H spectral ratios were presented for a range of expected horizontal PGA values to accommodate the magnitude and distance dependency. For very hard-rock conditions in CEUS, very few recordings were available for earthquakes with magnitudes $M > 5$. Furthermore, McGuire et al. (2001) studied recordings of the Saguenay event and the only three available recordings of the Nahanni and Gazli earthquakes. They observed that the very few data in CEUS pointed to the fact that the V/H spectral ratios might be high at very close rupture distances to the CEUS earthquakes. They indicated that data from more distant events have lower V/H spectral ratios for the WUS rock sites than the CEUS rock sites. To develop recommended V/H spectral ratios for applications in CEUS, they used a modified point-source model to predict the general trend in V/H spectral ratios at rock sites from the 1989 magnitude 6.9 Loma Prieta earth-quake in WUS. The point-source model predicted a peak in the V/H spectral ratios near 60 Hz corresponding to the peak in WUS ratios but moved from about 15 to 20 Hz to $\sim 60$ Hz. The V/H spectral ratios in the CEUS model showed higher values at low frequencies for less than 3 Hz, as compared to the WUS ratios, consistent with available data. McGuire et al. (2001) recommended that V/H spectral ratios for rock sites in CEUS can be developed by shifting the WUS ratios to match the peaks corresponding to about 60 Hz. The WUS levels at low frequency were also increased by $\sim 50\%$. National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP, 2009) recommends a vertical design spectrum, which is based on site class, SDS (design earthquake spectral response acceleration parameter at short period), and SS (the mapped maximum credible earthquake spectral response parameter at short period). The vertical design spectrum suggested by NEHRP (2009) is mainly based on the study by Bozorgnia and Campbell (2004b).

Gülerce and Abrahamson (2011) developed GMPEs to predict the V/H ratios of response spectra. They reviewed methods for constructing the site-specific vertical design spectra from a Uniform Hazard Spectrum and a conditional mean spectrum to be consistent with PSHA. The functional form for their V/H spectral ratios is consistent with the horizontal GMPEs developed by Abrahamson and Silva (2008). They used the PEER NGA-West1 Project database, which consists of 2684 sets of recordings from 127 earthquakes. Their functional form to predict the V/H ratio is dependent on earthquake magnitude, source-to-site distance, and type of faulting. They also included the functional form developed by Walling et al. (2008) to predict the effects of the nonlinear soil behavior in their V/H ratio model. Bommer et al. (2011) reviewed V/H ratio models by different seismic codes and regulations, such as the Regulatory Guide 1.60, McGuire et al. (2001), the Eurocode 8 (2004), and the NEHRP (2009). Their proposed model is based on 1296 accelerograms from 392 events occurring in Europe, the Middle East, and surrounding regions and predicts V/H spectral ratios for PGA and spectral accelerations from 0.02 to 3.0 s. Although their model predicts lower values for the V/H spectral ratio, it is in general agreement with the model developed by Gülerce and Abrahamson (2011), which is based on the data from western North America. Their model can be used for a magnitude range of 4.5–7.6 and distances up to 100 km.

Akkar et al. (2014) developed a V/H spectral ratio model for shallow active crustal regions in Europe and the Middle East. Their model is a function of magnitude, source-to-site distance, and style of faulting and includes a site response term that uses a continuous function of $V_{s30}$ to capture soil nonlinearity. Their proposed V/H ratio model is compatible with the horizontal GMPEs of Akkar et al. (2013a,b). They showed that for larger magnitudes, their model predicts larger V/H spectral ratios toward lower frequencies compared to the Bommer et al. (2011) model. For small magnitudes, the Bommer et al. (2011) model gives larger V/H estimates. They suggested that the different functional forms
as well as the resolution of the database could cause these discrepancies. Sedaghati and Pezeshk (2017) developed horizontal and vertical GMPEs using active crustal earthquakes occurring within the Iranian plateau. Their database includes 688 records from 152 earthquakes of $4.7 \leq M \leq 7.4$ and Joyner–Boore distances up to 250 km. They also calculated the V/H response spectral ratios and showed that the median V/H ratios increase with decreasing distance.

Bozorgnia and Campbell (2016b) developed a ground-motion model (GMM) for the V/H ratios of PGA, PSA, and PGV. Their V/H spectral ratio model is based on their horizontal and vertical GMMs (Campbell and Bozorgnia, 2014; Bozorgnia and Campbell, 2016a), which were developed as part of the NGA-West2 research program (Bozorgnia et al., 2014). They showed that their V/H model predictive power is not significantly influenced by excluding deep basin effects and soil nonlinearity from the vertical GMM.

In the present study, we use the first approach to propose a new model for the median V/H response spectral ratio for the Gulf Coast region. The Gulf Coast region is centrally located between the Appalachian Mountains and the eastern Rocky Mountains and includes the Mississippi embayment as shown in Figure 1. It has been shown that earthquake recordings in the Gulf Coast region exhibit significantly different ground-motion attenuation compared to the rest of CEUS because of the thick sediments in the region (Dreiling et al., 2014). Therefore, the V/H response spectral ratio model is developed based on a subset of the NGA-East database (Goulet et al., 2014) specific to the Gulf Coast region. The proposed model can be used to scale the available horizontal CENA GMPEs, such as Darragh et al. (2015), Frankel (2015), Graizer (2015), Hassani et al. (2015), Shahjouei and Pezeshk (2015), and Yenier and Atkinson (2015) in PEER (2015a), and Pezeshk et al. (2015). It should be mentioned that the aforementioned GMPEs are adjusted for the Gulf Coast region in PEER (2015b).

**Strong-Motion Database and Record Processing**

We used a subset of the NGA-East database in which only the ground motions that are recorded within the Gulf Coast region with $M \geq 3.4$ are selected (Fig. 1). The Gulf Coast region boundaries are defined based on the NGA-East regionalization (Dreiling et al., 2014). As can be seen from Figure 1, there are a limited number of events within the Gulf Coast region. Therefore, we expanded our rupture distance to 1000 km, which adds the events beyond the Gulf Coast region to our database. We only considered the records with significant travel path located within the Gulf region. NEHRP site class E (soft-soil) sites are excluded from consideration because of their complex site-response characteristics and their potential for nonlinear site effects. We also included time histories from the 2011 M 5.68 Sparks, Oklahoma, event and its aftershocks because it was one of the relatively large-magnitude earthquakes that happened close to the study area. Figure 2 displays the magnitude–distance distribution of the selected 978 recordings in terms of style of faulting and the NEHRP site classification: rock $(760 \leq V_{S30} \leq 1500 \text{ m/s})$, soft rock $(360 \leq V_{S30} > 760 \text{ m/s})$, and stiff soil $(180 \leq V_{S30} > 360 \text{ m/s})$.

The V/H models are needed to be developed for a broad range of frequencies to satisfy the structural analysis requirements. The vertical component of ground motion is rich in higher frequencies. Therefore, V/H ratios are primarily a
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**Figure 1.** (a) Location of earthquakes and the Gulf Coast region. (b) Recording stations within the Gulf Coast region in terms of National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) site classification. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.
high-frequency phenomenon. However, strong ground motion records may contain high-frequency noise, which needs to be removed before computing PSA values. The accuracy of PSA values at high frequencies obtained from the filtered strong ground motions has been investigated in different studies (e.g., Boore and Bommer, 2005; Akkar and Bommer, 2006; Akkar et al., 2011; Douglas and Boore, 2011; Graizer, 2012; Boore and Goulet, 2014). Douglas and Boore (2011) showed that in the tectonically active regions with high attenuation, such as WUS, the high-frequency content of the strong ground motions are naturally removed. Ground motions at frequencies much lower than the oscillator frequency would control the response of a high-frequency oscillator, and PSA values would not be affected significantly by the low-pass filters in data processing. However, this might not be the case for the regions with comparable lower anelastic attenuation, such as CENA, where the ground motions are recorded on very hard-rock sites with a significant amount of energy at high frequencies. Akkar et al. (2011) studied the usable high-frequency range of the European strong-motion database to find reliable criteria for identifying the degree to which PSA values at the high frequencies will be changed by the low-pass filtering. They concluded that the effect of low-pass filtering on the PSA values becomes negligible for corner frequencies lower than 20 Hz. For the NGA-East database, the low-pass and high-pass corner frequencies are multiplied by a factor of 0.8 and 1.25, respectively, to calculate the minimum and maximum usable frequencies for each filtered record. The corner frequencies are selected on an individual basis for filtering the vertical and horizontal components (Goulet et al., 2014).

In this study, for the lowest usable frequency, we considered the maximum of the minimum usable frequencies of the horizontal and vertical components in our regression analysis. Not all of the selected time series from the NGA-East database are low-pass filtered. For those low-pass filtered records, we followed the Douglas and Boore (2011) procedure to determine how the PSAs are affected by the low-pass filtering. Douglas and Boore (2011) used the ratio between the peak of the Fourier amplitude spectrum (FAS) of the acceleration and the level of high-frequency noise, called RFAS, and showed that if RFAS is less than 10, the PSA values will be affected insignificantly (less than 15% difference) by low-pass filtering. Consequently, 18 records with RFAS values less than 10 are not considered in our regression analysis. Akkar et al. (2011) showed that, while RFAS could be used as an a priori useful tool to find the records for which the high-frequency portion of the response spectral ordinates has been highly influenced by filtering, it would also be useful to investigate how the oscillator response interacts with the frequency content of the ground motion using basic structural dynamics. Consequently, we followed the Akkar et al. (2011) procedure to make sure that we used only reliable records in our regression analysis. A total number of 17 records with RFAS ≥ 10 were found, for which the PSA values for frequencies greater than 50 Hz were significantly affected by the low-pass filtering. These recordings were also removed, and the remaining 943 recordings were used in our regression analysis. Major features of the selected earthquakes are summarized in Table 1. It should be noted that there are no events with $M > 5.74$ in the study. Because the result of the proposed model has not been verified with actual recordings of $M > 5.74$ for the study region, results from this study should be used with extra caution for such events.

Functional Form and Regression Analysis

The PSAs from the NGA-East database are the 50th percentile (or median) PSA value calculated from the orthogonal
horizontal ground motions rotated through all possible nonredundant rotation angles, known as the RotD50 (Boore, 2010). The RotD50 values are used along with the vertical PSA values to perform a parametric regression analysis in which coefficients are calibrated using the nonlinear mixed-effects algorithm (Lindstrom and Bates, 1990) using the MATLAB functions of nlinfit and fitnlmefit (MathWorks Inc., 2015). The general functional form to be considered in the regression analysis is a function of source, path, and site terms as

$$\ln(V/H) = f_{source} + f_{path} + f_{site} + \Delta_{es},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which $f_{source}$ is the source term, $f_{path}$ is the path term, $f_{site}$ is the site term, and $\Delta_{es}$ is a random variable describing the total variability of the earthquake ground motion. To select the most appropriate functional form to perform the regression analysis, we investigated the trend of the median V/H response spectral ratios as a function of estimator parameters for each term of equation (1). We investigated various trial functional forms to find the most appropriate one by comparing the predicted models with our database and using statistical tools such as the Akaike information criteria (AIC) and Bayesian information criteria (BIC) values and the logarithm of their likelihoods. The AIC and BIC measure the quality of the considered statistical models relative to the other models for a given database (Burnham and Anderson, 2002).

### Source Term

To investigate the effect of magnitude on the V/H response spectral ratio, we categorized the database into three distance ranges ($R_{rup} \leq 100$ km, 100 km $\leq R_{rup} \leq 300$ km, and $300$ km $\leq R_{rup} \leq 600$ km). The considered distance ranges were chosen to be representative of short, intermediate, and long source-to-site distances based on the availability of records in our database. For each distance category, the data are clustered into 0.1 magnitude bins, and the median V/H response spectral ratio and its associated standard deviation is computed for each cluster. Figure 3 shows how the median V/H spectral ratios for PGA, and 0.1, 1.0, and 2.0 s spectral accelerations scale with magnitude for soil sites ($V_{SSO} = 270$ m/s). It should be mentioned that some of the considered magnitude bins are empty in Figure 3, due to the scarcity in data. For short periods ($T \leq 0.1$ s), the V/H response spectral ratios for all three distance clusters decay with increasing magnitude up to $M \sim 4.0$. Although our database is deficient for earthquakes of $M > 4.0$ at $R_{rup} \leq 100$ km, the V/H response spectral ratios are approximately magnitude independent for the other distance ranges.
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**Table 1** Earthquakes Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Date (yyyy/mm/dd)</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Latitude (°)</th>
<th>Longitude (°)</th>
<th>Number of Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comal</td>
<td>2011/10/20</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>28.81</td>
<td>-98.15</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston</td>
<td>2002/11/11</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>-79.94</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FtPayne</td>
<td>2003/04/29</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>34.49</td>
<td>-85.63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaughterville</td>
<td>2010/10/13</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>35.20</td>
<td>-97.31</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enola</td>
<td>2001/05/04</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>35.21</td>
<td>-92.19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbrier</td>
<td>2011/02/28</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>-92.34</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guy</td>
<td>2010/10/15</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>35.28</td>
<td>-92.32</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guy</td>
<td>2010/11/20</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>-92.32</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparks</td>
<td>2011/11/06</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>-96.75</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>2010/02/27</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>-96.75</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparks</td>
<td>2011/11/05</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>-96.70</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>2010/01/15</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>35.59</td>
<td>-97.26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadia</td>
<td>2010/11/24</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>35.63</td>
<td>-97.25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MilliganRdg</td>
<td>2005/02/10</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>-90.23</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShadyGrove</td>
<td>2005/05/01</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>35.83</td>
<td>-90.15</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blytheville</td>
<td>2003/04/30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>35.94</td>
<td>-89.92</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miston</td>
<td>2005/06/02</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>36.14</td>
<td>-89.46</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marston</td>
<td>2006/10/18</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>36.54</td>
<td>-89.64</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>2010/03/02</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>36.79</td>
<td>-89.36</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bardwell</td>
<td>2003/06/06</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>36.87</td>
<td>-88.98</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>2011/08/23</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>37.91</td>
<td>-77.98</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>2011/08/25</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>37.94</td>
<td>-77.90</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caborn</td>
<td>2002/06/18</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>37.98</td>
<td>-87.80</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan</td>
<td>2011/06/07</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>-90.93</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarrol</td>
<td>2008/04/18</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>38.45</td>
<td>-87.89</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarrol</td>
<td>2008/04/25</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>38.45</td>
<td>-87.87</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarrol</td>
<td>2008/04/21</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>38.47</td>
<td>-87.82</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarrol</td>
<td>2008/04/18*</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>38.48</td>
<td>-87.89</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greentown</td>
<td>2010/12/30</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>-85.89</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrairieCntr</td>
<td>2004/06/28</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>41.44</td>
<td>-88.94</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Aftershock.*
three magnitude ranges ($3.4 \leq M < 4.0$, $4.0 \leq M < 4.6$, and $4.6 \leq M < 5.8$). For each magnitude range, the data are clustered into 10 km distance bins, and the median V/H response spectral ratio and its associated standard deviation is computed for each cluster. It should be noted that 10 km was the smallest bin in which we would have at least three records to be statistically robust. Figure 4 shows how the median V/H response spectral ratios scale with $R_{\text{rup}}$ for soil sites ($V_{S30} = 270$ m/s) for the considered magnitude ranges. Similar to results reported by Atkinson (1993), the V/H spectral ratio model for the study region has low magnitude dependency. However, as it can be seen from Figure 4, the median V/H response spectral ratios tend to decay with increasing distances for PGA, whereas they are almost distance independent for $T = 0.1$ s. The median V/H spectral ratios start to increase smoothly with distance for $T \geq 1.0$ s. Consequently, the path term is expressed as

$$f_{\text{path}} = a_5 M \ln \left( R_{\text{rup}}^2 + h^2 \right),$$

in which $a_5$ is a fixed-effects coefficient, $h$ is the hypothetical depth coefficient, assumed to be 6.0 km following Pezeshk et al. (2015).

### Site Term

We did not account for the soil nonlinearity because the magnitude range of the considered database is limited to $3.4 \leq M < 5.8$. The site term is expressed as

$$f_{\text{site}} = a_6 \ln (V_{S30}/V_{\text{ref}}),$$

in which $a_6$ is a fixed-effects coefficient, and $V_{\text{ref}}$ is equal to 760 m/s. The considered site term is also similar to the employed site term in the PEER NGA-East median GMMs by Hollenback et al. (2015) in PEER (2015a).

### Variability

Following the notation used by Al Atik et al. (2010), the total variability of the ground motion ($\Delta \sigma$) can be separated into the between-events variability ($\Delta B_e$) and the within-event variability ($\Delta W_{es}$), in which the $s$ and $e$ stand for an observation for earthquake $e$ recorded at station $s$, respectively. The terms $\Delta B_e$ and $\Delta W_{es}$ have standard deviations $\tau$ and $\phi$, respectively. The within-event residual can be decomposed into the site-to-site residual ($\delta W_{S\rightarrow S}$) and the site- and event-corrected residual ($\delta W_{S\rightarrow e}$). The standard deviations of the $\delta W_{S\rightarrow e}$ and $\delta W_{S\rightarrow S}$ are represented by $\phi_{SS}$ and $\phi_{S\rightarrow S}$. The ergodic sigma of the GMMs is typically defined as

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (\Delta B_e^2 + \Delta W_{es}^2)},$$

where $\Delta B_e$ and $\Delta W_{es}$ are the between-events and within-event variances, respectively. The ergodic sigma is a measure of the total variability of the ground motion.

### Path Term

In general, the path effect is modeled through the effects of anelastic attenuation and geometrical spreading (Zandieh and Pezeshk, 2010; Hosseini et al., 2015; Sedaghati and Pezeshk, 2016, 2017). To investigate the effect of distance on the V/H response spectral ratios, we categorized the database into

![Figure 4. Median V/H response spectral ratios as a function of $R_{\text{rup}}$ for soil sites ($V_{S30} = 270$ m/s). Error bars represent the one standard deviation of each distance bin. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.](image-url)
In recent years, it has been observed that the variability in multiple recordings from similar earthquakes occurring in specific sites is comparably lower than the ergodic sigma values of GMMs (Rodriguez-Marek et al., 2014). This difference is due to the fact that the ergodic sigma includes the site-to-site variations between sites which have been captured using the same site parameter (e.g., $V_{S30}$), whereas a single site is free of these variations. Therefore, if the site term ($\delta S_{SS}$) is known, its standard deviation ($q_{SS}$) can be excluded from equation (6), such that

$$\sigma_{ergodic} = \sqrt{\tau^2 + \phi_{SS}^2 + \tau_{SS}^2}. \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_{ergodic} = \sqrt{\tau^2 + \phi_{SS}^2 + \tau_{SS}^2}. \quad (6)$$

In this study, a mixed-effect regression is used to obtain the median V/H response spectral ratios for PGA and 5% damped spectral accelerations up to a period of 10.0 s. The corresponding regression coefficients for each spectral period are calculated and tabulated in Table 2. Associated standard deviations of the proposed V/H response spectral ratio model are plotted in Figure 5 as a function of period. Between-events variability has the least contribution to the total standard deviation, as is generally the case for the V/H ratios. This is due to the fact that the correlated between-event terms of horizontal and vertical ground-motion components cancel each other (Bommer et al., 2011). The total ergodic standard deviation varies from about 0.4 at $T = 0.01$ s to about 0.6 at $T = 10.0$ s.

The robustness of the proposed V/H model is investigated by plotting the between-event, site-to-site, and event-site variations of standard deviations of the proposed V/H response spectral ratio model as a function of period. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.

$$\sigma_{ergodic} = \sqrt{\tau^2 + \phi_{SS}^2}. \quad (7)$$

In which $\sigma_{ss}$ is referred to as single-station sigma (Atkinson, 2006). The application of single-station sigma is referred to as a partially nonergodic PSHA approach, in which the aleatory variability and epistemic uncertainties are clearly separated (Rodriguez-Marek et al., 2014).

In this study, a mixed-effect regression is used to obtain the median V/H response spectral ratios for PGA and 5% damped spectral accelerations up to a period of 10.0 s. The corresponding regression coefficients for each spectral period are calculated and tabulated in Table 2. Associated standard deviations of the proposed V/H response spectral ratio model are plotted in Figure 5 as a function of period. Between-events variability has the least contribution to the total standard deviation, as is generally the case for the V/H ratios. This is due to the fact that the correlated between-event terms of horizontal and vertical ground-motion components cancel each other (Bommer et al., 2011). The total ergodic standard deviation varies from about 0.4 at $T = 0.01$ s to about 0.6 at $T = 10.0$ s.

The robustness of the proposed V/H model is investigated by plotting the between-event, site-to-site, and event-site variations of standard deviations of the proposed V/H response spectral ratio model as a function of period. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.
corrected residuals for a range of spectral periods. Figure 6 illustrates the sufficiency of the proposed source term (M scaling), site term (V_{S30} scaling), and path term (distance scaling) for PGA, T = 0.1 s, and T = 1.0 s. A straight line is also fitted to the residuals with its 95% confidence interval for a better trend visualization. Zero mean residuals, which are uncorrelated with respect to the input parameters, would confirm an unbiased model in our regression. As can be observed, the residuals are randomly distributed, and there is no discernible trend in the regression model residuals versus different input parameters. Similar results were obtained at other spectral periods as well. Figure 7 shows the residual analysis to capture the effect of faulting, which was not included in our proposed model. As can be seen from Figure 7, the residuals do not depend on the style of faulting.

**Predicted V/H Spectral Ratios**

Variations in the predicted median V/H response spectral ratios as a function of distance, magnitude, and V_{S30} are presented in this section. Figure 8 shows the variation of median V/H response spectral ratio as a function of period for a range of rupture distances and magnitudes for soil sites (V_{S30} = 270 m/s). As can be observed from Figure 8, the median V/H response spectral ratios increase with increasing magnitudes in the short-period ranges (T ≤ 0.1 s), with pronounced effects at short rupture distances. A similar magnitude dependency in V/H response spectral ratios is seen for longer periods (T > 0.2 s) with pronounced behavior at longer rupture distances. The V/H response spectral ratio peaks at around T = 0.05 s and attenuates rapidly with increasing rupture distance.

Figure 9 illustrates the median V/H response spectral ratios as a function of period for a range of small-to-large magnitude earthquakes occurring at a rupture distance of 5 km on different site conditions. As shown in Figure 9, the site term does not influence the median V/H ratios for T ≤ 0.2. Interestingly, the V/H ratios increase at long periods with increasing V_{S30}. This is due to the stronger V_{S30} dependency of the horizontal component of earthquake ground motions compared to the vertical component (Abrahamson and Silva, 1997).

**Comparison with Other Studies**

For the first set of comparisons, the proposed model is compared with the predicted V/H response spectral ratios from Gülerce and Abrahamson (2011; hereafter, GA11), Bommer...
The comparison plots are provided in Figure 10 for a scenario earthquake of \( M = 5.5 \) at a rupture distance of 50 km for both soil (\( V_{S30} = 270 \) m/s) and rock sites (\( V_{S30} = 760 \) m/s). The models compared herein are all plotted for the spectral period ranges suggested by the model developers. The immediate observation from the comparison plots confirms the general trend of the V/H response spectral ratios which are (1) higher at high frequencies than at low frequencies and (2) lower on rock site than on soil site. As can be seen from Figure 10, the proposed V/H response spectral ratios are lower than the V/H response spectral ratios of GA11, especially for soil sites while it is in general agreement with the ASA14 and SP17 models. For rock sites, the predicted V/H ratios approximately flatten out, whereas the other models show an overall increasing trend.

The last set of comparison plots are presented in Figure 11, similar to Figure 10. We calculated the median V/H response spectral ratios using the ratio of the NGA-West2 vertical and horizontal GMPEs developed as part of the NGA-West2 project (Chiou and Youngs, 2013, in PEER 2013, hereafter, CY13; Bozorgnia et al., 2014; Bozorgnia and Campbell, 2016b, hereafter, BC16; Stewart et al., 2016, hereafter, SSBA16; and Gülerce et al., 2017, hereafter, GKAS17). In general, the NGA-West2 V/H ratios follow fairly the same trend for soil sites. However, they differ significantly in longer periods, specifically for rock sites. The proposed model is showing constant and lower V/H ratio values for longer periods when compared with the other empirical models. The observed difference could be due to the high-pass filtering (significantly less than 0.1 Hz) in the NGA-East database with these smaller magnitude events and the larger distances. For soil sites, the proposed model predictions are lower in short-period ranges (\( T > 0.1 \) s) compared with the NGA-West2 ratios. Similar to Figure 10, the peak point in longer periods for our model is smaller compared with the NGA-West2 models. The difference between various models can be attributed to the tectonic and geological differences of regions for which the models were developed and the database differences, specifically the magnitude ranges.

Summary and Conclusions

A model for the prediction of median V/H response spectral ratios for the Gulf Coast region is presented in this article using a subset of the NGA-East database with magnitudes...
The Gulf Coast region to address a lack of suitable models in this region. The proposed model is compared with recent V/H models and the ratio of the V/H NGA-West2 GMPEs. We plan to expand this study to cover the whole CENA in future articles.

Data and Resources

The seismograms used in this study are from the Next Generation Attenuation (NGA)-East database available at http://ngawest2.berkeley.edu/ (last accessed June 2016). The regression analysis was performed using MATLAB mixed-effects regression functions of nlmefit and fitlme-matrix (MathWorks Inc., 2015).
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Figure 10. The proposed V/H response spectral ratio model in comparison with the BAK11 (Bommer et al., 2011), GA11 (Gülerce and Abrahamson, 2011), ASA14 (Akkar et al., 2014), and SP17 (Sadaghati and Pezeshk, 2017) models using $M$ 5.5 and $R_{rup} = 50$ km for soil ($V_{S30} = 270$ m/s) and rock ($V_{S30} = 760$ m/s) site conditions. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.

Figure 11. The proposed V/H response spectral ratio model in comparison with the CY13 (Chiou and Youngs, 2013, in PEER 2013), SSBA16 (Stewart et al., 2016), GKAS17 (Gülerce et al., 2017), and BC16 (Bozorgnia and Campbell, 2016b) models using $M$ 5.5 and $R_{rup} = 50$ km for soil ($V_{S30} = 270$ m/s) and rock ($V_{S30} = 760$ m/s) site conditions. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.

ranging from $M$ 3.4 to 5.74 and rupture distances ranging from 20 to 1000 km. The presented model has the advantage of considering magnitude, source-to-site distance, and shear-wave velocity of soil deposits in the upper 30 m of the site in a wide period range of up to 10.0 s. It should be mentioned that we considered long-source-to-site distances to be sufficient, based on the deaggregation analysis of PSHA in the Gulf Coast region. Having said that, the review of past earthquakes shows that the region is capable of producing events with magnitudes greater than 5.74 in the future. Scarcity data are noticeable in developing empirical relations, such as GMPEs and V/H ratio models for the region. We are aware that the magnitude range of events used in this study is a limitation for the developed model, but we also believe that the developed model provides a foundation for calculating V/H ratios and vertical spectrum based on the available data. For applications outside the input magnitude and distance, the model should be used with caution. The presented model is the first V/H model derived specifically for application in the Gulf Coast region to address a lack of suitable models in...
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