



Hazard vs Risk

Seismic hazard analysis

describes the potential for dangerous, earthquake-related natural phenomena such as ground shaking, fault rupture, or soil liquefaction.

Seismic risk analysis

assesses the probability of occurrence of losses (human, social, economic) associated with the seismic hazards.

😵 FEMA Instructional Material Complementing FEMA 451, Design Examples Seismic Hazard Analysis 5a - 3

Approaches to Seismic Hazard Analysis

Deterministic

"The earthquake hazard for the site is a peak ground acceleration of 0.35g resulting from an earthquake of magnitude 6.0 on the Balcones Fault at a distance of 12 miles from the site."

Probabilistic

"The earthquake hazard for the site is a peak ground acceleration of 0.28g with a 2 percent probability of being exceeded in a 50-year period."

FEMA Instructional Material Complementing FEMA 451, Design Examples





Seiemic Hazard Analysis 5a - 4



















IABLE 1						
	LISC OF CARINQUA	kes USea	to Develop Atten		No. of Records ²	
Earthquake	Distance Range Date M Fault Type ¹ (km)	Uistance Range (km)	R	DS		
Kern County,CA	1952/07/21	7.4	RV	120.5-224.0	0	3
Port Hueneme, CA	1957/03/18	4.7	RV	14.1-14.1	0	1
Daily City, CA	1957/03/22	5.3	RV	9.5-9.5	1	0
Parkfield, CA	1966/06/27	6.1	SS	0.1-230.0	1	6
Borrego Mtn., CA	1968/04/09	6.6	SS	113.0-261.0	5	3
Santa Rosa, CA (A)	1969/10/02	5.6	SS	80.0-113.0	1	2
Santa Rosa, CA (B)	1969/10/02	5.7	SS	78.9-112.0	1	2
Lytle Creek, CA	1970/09/12	5.3	RV	19.7-76.0	5	2
San Fernando, CA	1971/02/09	6.6	RV	2.8-305.0	11	14
Lake Isabella, CA	1971/03/08	4.1	SS	8.9-8.9	1	0
Bear Valley, CA	1972/02/24	4.7	SS	2.5-2.5	1	0
Point Mugu, CA	1973/02/21	5.6	RV	25.0-25.0	0	1
Hollister, CA	1974/11/28	5.2	SS	39.0-39.0	1	0
Oroville, CA	1975/08/01	5.9	SS	9.5-35.8	2	2
Oroville, CA (R)	1975/08/02	5.1	SS	12.7-14.6	0	2
Oroville, CA (S)	1975/08/02	5.2	SS	12.4-15.0	0	2
Oroville, CA (A)	1975/08/03	4.6	SS	8.4-14.9	1	6 🖣





(y) = C	$+C_2M +$	$-C_3(8.5-$	$-M) + C_4$	$\ln(r_{rup} +$	$\exp(C_5 -$	$+C_{6}M))$	$+ C_7 (r_{rup} +$
т	C.	C.	C.	C.	Cr	C.	C.
PGA	-0.624	1.000	0.000	-2.100	1.296	0.250	0.000
0.07	0.110	1.000	0.006	-2.128	1.296	0.250	-0.082
0.1	0.275	1.000	0.006	-2.148	1.296	0.250	-0.041
0.2	0.153	1.000	-0.004	-2.080	1.296	0.250	0.000
0.3	-0.057	1.000	-0.017	-2.028	1.296	0.250	0.000
0.4	-0.298	1.000	-0.028	-1.990	1.296	0.250	0.000
0.5	-0.588	1.000	-0.040	-1.945	1.296	0.250	0.000
0.75	-1.208	1.000	-0.050	-1.865	1.296	0.250	0.000
1	-1.705	1.000	-0.055	-1.800	1.296	0.250	0.000
1.5	-2.407	1.000	-0.065	-1.725	1.296	0.250	0.000
2	-2.945	1.000	-0.070	-1.670	1.296	0.250	0.000
3	-3.700	1.000	-0.080	-1.610	1.296	0.250	0.000
4	-4.230	1.000	-0.100	-1.570	1.296	0.250	0.000





























Uniform Hazard Spectrum

Developed from probabilistic analysis

All ordinates have equal probability of exceedance

Represents contributions from small local, large distant earthquakes

Material Com

May be overly conservative for modal response spectrum analysis

May not be appropriate for artificial ground motion generation

ting FEMA 451. Design Ex

ard Analysis 5a - 35



🐮 FEMA 🔐









































































The input z	ipcode is 80203.	(DENVER)			
ZIP CODE		80203	80203		
LOCATION		39.7310 Lat	39.7310 Lat104.9815 Long.		
DISTANCE TO	NEAREST GRID PO	INT 3.7898 kms			
NEAREST GRI	D POINT	39.7 Lat:	105.0 Long.		
Probabilist	ic ground motion	values, in %g, a	at the Nearest Grid		
oint are:					
	10%PE in 50 yr	5%PE in 50 yr	2%PE in 50 yr		
PGA	3.299764	5.207589	9.642159		
0.2 sec SA	7.728900	11.917400	19.921591		
0.3 sec SA	6.178438	9.507714	16.133711		
1.0 sec SA	2.334019	3.601994	5.879917		
AUTION: USE O CCURATE RESU	F ZIPCODES IS DISC LTS.	OURAGED; LAT-LO	NG VALUES WILL GIVE		

