

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- An important part of your K'NEX truss design is the utilization of tension and compression failure models.
- After you have modeled your structure in SAP2000 and determined the force in each member, failure model can help you predict the ultimate capacity of your structure.
- Therefore, we should discuss failure model for both tension and compression.

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- One simple mathematical model for predicting **compression failure** is the **Euler buckling model**.

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(KL)^2}$$

where P_{cr} is the critical load for the column, E is the modulus of elasticity, I is the moment of inertia, K is effective length factor, and L is the length of the column.

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- If the end supports are considered pin connections, then $K = 1$.

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{L^2}$$

- For our K'NEX rods, we have estimated the cross-sectional area of a K'NEX rod and found that:

$$I = 8.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in}^4$$

$$E = 377 \text{ ksi}$$

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- Therefore, the Euler buckling force for a K'NEX column can be estimated as:

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{L^2}$$

- Example: estimated the critical force in a **red** K'NEX rod. Assume that $L = 6$ in (includes the length of the rod and the spacing of the connectors).

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 (377,000 \text{ psi})(8.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in}^4)}{(6 \text{ in})^2} = 9.1 \text{ lb}$$

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- Example: Estimated the critical force in a **yellow** K'NEX rod. Assume $L = 4.25 \text{ in}$ (includes the length of the rod and the spacing of the connectors).

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 (377,000 \text{ psi})(8.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in}^4)}{(4.25 \text{ in})^2} = 18.1 \text{ lb}$$


- Why does the **yellow** K'NEX rod have a larger critical force than the **red** K'NEX rod?
 - Decrease L increase P_{cr}
 - Increase L decrease P_{cr}

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- Unlike the compression failure, a **tension failure** will probably not occur in the K'NEX material.
- It is more probable, that the K'NEX rod to pull out of the K'NEX connector up tension force.
- To develop an understanding of **tension failure** in K'NEX rods and connector an experimental failure analysis should be performed.


Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- Consider the following procedure for estimating tension failure in K'NEX.
- For a given connector, (in case a yellow connector), experimental measure the force required to pull a rod out of the connector.
- Fix the connector and attached a bucket of sand to the rod
- Determine the weight of sand required to pull-out the rod from the connector.



Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

- One difference from the compression model is that the tension model is based on experimental data not a mathematical model.
- Therefore, you should probably perform numerous tests and take an average value for your tension failure limit.



Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

To predict the ultimate load capacity of your truss take advantage of the fact that the structure is consider linear-elastic and use the *principle of superposition*.

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Member	L (in)	F_{SAP}	P_{cr} (lb)	Mode	P (lb)
AB	3.00	-0.75	-36.38	1	48.5
AC	5.00	1.25	12.00	2	9.6
BC	4.00	-0.63	-20.46	2	32.5
BD	6.26	-1.57	-8.34	2	5.3
CD	3.52	0.84	10.00	1	11.9

Mode	T_f (lb)
1	10
2	12
3	18

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{L^2}$$


If $F_{SAP} < 0$, then $P = \frac{P_{cr}}{F_{SAP}}$

If $F_{SAP} > 0$, then $P = \frac{T_f}{F_{SAP}}$

Ultimate Force 5.3 lb.

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Does the ultimate load predict change if we added an additional member CE that bisects the original member BD?



Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Member	L (in)	F_{SAP}	P_{cr} (lb)	Mode	P (lb)
AB	3.00	-0.75	-36.38	1	48.5
AC	5.00	1.25	12.00	2	9.6
BC	4.00	-0.63	-20.46	2	32.5
BE	3.13	-1.57	-33.37	1	21.3
CD	3.35	0.84	10.00	1	11.9
DE	3.13	-1.57	-33.37	1	21.3
CE	1.95	0.00		1	


Mode	T_f (lb)
1	10
2	12
3	18

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{L^2}$$

Ultimate Force **9.6** lb

If $F_{SAP} < 0$, then $P = \frac{P_{cr}}{F_{SAP}}$

If $F_{SAP} > 0$, then $P = \frac{T_f}{F_{SAP}}$



Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Design Strategy

- Develop several conceptual designs
- Model each design in SAP2000 and determine the members forces due to a unit load
- Using the results of the SAP2000 analysis apply the failure models and predict ultimate load
- Compute the structural weight and cost
- Compute the **SWR**
- All design decision should be based on increasing the **SWR**

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Apply Design Strategy to K'NEX Truss

- For the K'NEX truss structure shown in Figure 1, predict the strength-to-weight ratio (SWR) and estimate the cost in K'NEX dollars.
- Assume the structure is co-planar and linear-elastic and that the displacements are small such that the principle of superposition may applied.
- Apply a load of $\frac{1}{2}$ at the top of the structure (the red connector).
- Assume that there are two identical coplanar trusses that form the 3-D structure
- Assume **pinned** supports.
- Let the distance between joints for a yellow rod is 4.25 in. Also, let $E = 377,000$ psi and $I = 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$ in⁴.

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Apply Design Strategy to K'NEX Truss





Figure 1. One of the coplanar structures comprising the 3-D structure

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Apply Design Strategy to K'NEX Truss



Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Apply Design Strategy to K'NEX Truss

Member	L (in)	F_{SAP}	P_{cr} (lb)	Mode	P (lb)
1	3.01	0.00		1	
2	3.01	0.00		1	
3	3.01	0.35	15.00	1	42.4
4	3.01	0.35	15.00	1	42.4
5	3.01	0.35	15.00	1	42.4
6	3.01	0.35	15.00	1	42.4
7	3.01	0.00		1	
8	3.01	0.00		1	
9	4.25	-0.25	-18.13	2	72.5
10	3.01	-0.35	-36.26	1	102.5
11	3.01	-0.35	-36.26	1	102.5
12	3.01	-0.71	-36.26	1	51.3
13	3.01	-0.71	-36.26	1	51.3
14	3.01	-0.71	-36.26	1	51.3
15	3.01	-0.71	-36.26	1	51.3

Mode	T_i (lb)
1	15
2	20
3	25

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Apply Design Strategy to K'NEX Truss

Member	L (in)	F_{SAP}	P_{cr} (lb)	Mode	P (lb)
17	3.01	-0.35	-36.26	1	102.5
18	4.25	-0.25	-18.13	2	72.5
19	4.25	0.25	25.00	3	100.0
20	4.25	-0.25	-18.13	3	72.5
21	4.25	0.25	25.00	3	100.0
22	4.25	0.50	25.00	3	50.0
23	4.25	0.25	25.00	3	100.0
24	4.25	-0.25	-18.13	3	72.5
25	4.25	0.25	25.00	3	100.0
26	3.01	0.00		1	
27	3.01	0.00		1	
28	3.01	0.00		1	
29	3.01	0.00		1	
30	3.01	0.00		1	
31	3.01	0.00		1	
32	3.01	0.00		1	

Mode	T_i (lb)
1	15
2	20
3	25

Project #1 – K'NEX Truss

Apply Design Strategy to K'NEX Truss

- The maximum load is the minimum failure force or 42.41 lb. (19,241.4 g)
- An estimate of the weight and costs are computed as: 185 g and \$4,720 K'NEX dollars
- Therefore the **SWR** is: 104

End of K'NEX Truss Project

Any questions?

